

**DOCCUMENTATIONS OF:**

**U. N.  
PLOT  
TO  
DESTROY  
U. S.**

----- o -----

**By MYRON C. FAGAN**

----- o -----

No. 43



Published by  
**CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.**

---

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR  
*Organized to Combat Communism*

---

**APRIL - MAY, 1955 - - News-Bulletin**

---

Based on Myron C. Fagan's address to the Fellows of the Cinema Educational Guild at their meeting on May 9, 1955, at the Ebell Club in Los Angeles, California.

---

**CONTENTS:**

- 1) **"Guilt By Association"**
- 2) **San Francisco Hearing on U. N. Charter Revisions**
- 3) **"Congress of Freedom" Convention**
- 4) **Obvious Conclusions**
- 5) **Grounds for Lawsuits against U. N.**
- 6) **The PETITION**
- 7) **The DOCUMENTATIONS**
- 8) **So. Africa gives UNESCO "Bum's Rush"**

---

**CPA BOOK PUBLISHER**

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Fax: 503 668-8614

Email: [cpabooks@hotmail.com](mailto:cpabooks@hotmail.com)

*Send for free Catalog*



## "GUILT BY ASSOCIATION"

---

Back in 1933 Franklin Roosevelt gave the Reds in America a false cloak of respectability by granting Recognition to Moscow. After that he gave them potent propaganda weapons every time he denounced or ridiculed patriotic groups such as "America First", "Pro-America", "Minute Women", the DAR, etc. If you joined or supported any organization that opposed Communism and Internationalism you were loudly charged with being a Fascist, a Nazi, an Isolationist and, for final good measure, an anti-Semite. And even if you were not an active member of such patriotic organizations, but attended their meetings and expressed approval of their objectives, you were declared guilty by reason of association.

Thus, from 1933 until a year or two after the war, "Guilt by Association" was the favorite "smear" technique of the Reds and Internationalists. They even stretched it to cover individuals who refused to join or contribute money to Red Fronts. Many actors—even top Stars—Writers and Directors were secretly blacklisted in Hollywood and on Broadway if they continued to associate with known foes of Communism and Internationalism. When that "guilt by association" technique was decried by those who were thus deprived of livelihood in their professions, the Reds chortled raucously, and triumphantly pointed to the old adage that "a man is known by the company he keeps."

But along in 1947 the tide began to turn. It became obvious that Moscow was never our ally. Pro-Communism began to lose its popularity. Congressional and State committees began to investigate the Red Fronts. They named and exposed members and supporters. Then the "Cinema Educational Guild" exposed and NAMED the Reds in Hollywood—and the American people began to give those Red Stars, Directors and Writers a taste of their own "blacklist" medicine. And their screams rang to high heaven. They bitterly denounced our use of their own "guilt by association" technique. It was vicious and unfair, they cried out. No longer did they point to that old adage about being known by the company you keep. Now *their ox was being gored—and they didn't like it.*

---

### SAN FRANCISCO HEARING ON U. N. REVISION

All of the above is apropos of the Senate Foreign Relations Sub-



Committee's Hearing on the matter of revising the U. N. Charter, held in San Francisco on April 9, 1955.

The hearing opened promptly at 10 A. M. Senator Sparkman's arrival went unnoticed. Senator Knowland received a tremendous ovation. They were the only members of the Committee present. Sparkman explained the objective of the various hearings: it was to get the reactions of the people to the proposed revisions, if any, of the United Nations Charter. He further stated that there were about 90 people scheduled to speak. Each speaker was allotted five minutes in which to present a summary of the written statements they had already delivered. Later, Senator Sparkman assured that all of the written statements would be printed verbatim in an official report and made available to the public. Anybody can get a copy by writing for it to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C., or to the Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

The morning session had 5 speakers opposing the U. N. and 19 for "strengthening" it. In the afternoon 16 loyal Americans staunchly spoke up for the Constitution and 34 spoke in favor of strengthening the U. N. regardless of what it would do to American Sovereignty. The audience was about evenly divided on the question. But there was a healthy sign throughout the hearing that I feel should be stressed. Those who opposed the U. N., both the speakers and in the audience, did so fearlessly and with assurance that their cause was righteous. Those who spoke in favor of the U. N. were furtive and defiant—they knew they were propounding treason. Which brings us back to their own one-time "guilt by association" technique.

The first speaker in favor of the U. N., one Luther Carr, proclaimed that he was there to speak on behalf of the Kiwanis. I very much doubt that he had been authorized by International Kiwanis to do so. I stress that doubt because I am familiar with the tactics of that gentleman. Back in 1949, when C.E.G. was leading in the fight for the rescission of the "United World Federalists" infamous One-World Resolution, I publicly debated the question with him. He was then a top functionary of the U.W.F. He was openly a One-Worlder, and among his co-workers I recognized many Reds. After his statement at the hearing on the matter of the U. N. Charter revisions (on April 9, 1955), at which he claimed to represent Kiwanis, I commented about his UWF relationship, and upon his association with various Reds and Internationalists. He promptly wailed that I was "smearing" him via the "guilt by association"



technique. He conveniently forgot (?) that in 1949 he and his co-workers endeavored to discredit me and CEG, by pointing to my purported association with notorious anti-semites.

It is interesting to note what kind of organizations and individuals espoused the cause of the U. N. The most zealous were the "United World Federalists", "Atlantic Union", "American Association for the U. N.", "League of Women Voters", "National Council of Jewish Women", "Americans for Democratic Action", "Womens' International League for Peace and Freedom", etc., etc., together with others who appeared as individuals, whom I recognized as members of various Red Fronts and One-World groups. No doubt, however, that among them there were a few naive and misled sincere men and women.

Those who spoke for America and the Constitution were representatives of "Cinema Educational Guild", DAR, SAR, "California Military Order of World Wars", "Pro-America", "Californians for the Bricker Amendment", together with lawyers, doctors, writers, housewives, etc., who appeared as individuals.

The most interesting feature of the hearing was in the reactions of both Senators Knowland and Sparkman. Naturally, they had the privilege of questioning every speaker after he (or she) spoke. The witnesses who spoke on behalf of Americanism and the Constitution were not questioned, but those who advocated for strengthening the U. N. came in for considerable questioning by Senator Knowland. He pointed out that the U. N. is not presently using all the power it has at its disposal, so how could giving it more power solve the problem. In short, he quietly but effectively highlighted the grave menace of the U. N. to the U. S. The witnesses had no answers for his questions.

---

●

## THE "CONGRESS OF FREEDOM"

---

●

In October 1953 I attended the first national convention of the "Congress of Freedom" in Omaha, Nebraska.

My interest in that then new organization was aroused by a communication in the form of a prospectus which I received from the organizers. It promised amazing action. It named as Sponsors and Directors men and women of great reputations, noted lawyers,



political and military figures, courageous journalists—and when Col. Archibald B. Roosevelt (Teddy's son) told me that he had accepted the chairmanship of the convention I became convinced that this organization of true and great Americans was the answer to every true American's prayer.

Well—I heard great speeches, delivered by brilliant speakers. But they told me nothing that I did not already know—or that the vast majority of those assembled there did not already know. They came up with splendid “Resolutions”, but Resolutions without action are merely scraps of paper—and there was no action. In short, that convention was all talk and no action. I left Omaha completely deflated and disappointed—and dismissed the “Congress of Freedom” as a possible instrument for the awakening and welding of the American people.

In 1954 they held another convention, also in Omaha. I did not attend. Nor did Col. Roosevelt. Nor did many of the others who had enthusiastically flocked to Omaha for the 1953 convention. But shortly after the 1954 convention I received a letter from Robert Le Fevre, the newly elected Executive Director of the “Congress of Freedom”, in which he informed me that a new Board of Directors had been elected, and that the new Board was composed of men and women of ACTION, not of talk. He outlined their plans for a 1955 convention to be held in San Francisco—and *stressed* that the entire convention was to be devoted to “an appraisal of the United Nations” and how to destroy its menace to the sovereignty of the United States.

Despite my previous conviction that the “Congress of Freedom” was just another brilliant idea that had somehow gone awry, that letter, and the communications that followed, revived my belief that great good could come out of it. Mr. Le Fevre did not stress the great speeches that would be heard—he stressed the ACTION that would be taken. I accepted his assurances at face value and threw myself whole-heartedly into the support of the project. I urged all members of C.E.G. to co-operate. Many of them did. For that reason I feel that it is my obligation to issue a report of the results of the San Francisco convention.

Briefly, it was a repetition of the 1953 convention in Omaha—all talk and no action. It was even more disappointing because it lacked the orderliness and the dignity of the Omaha convention. The San Francisco convention sadly lacked the skill and personal dignity of former Chairman Archie Roosevelt. Furthermore, whereas the Omaha convention was kept clear of all subversives and undesir-



ables, that was not true of the San Francisco convention. The so-called "delegates" were not properly screened, and even two or three of the speakers were, to put it mildly, suspect. Too bad—we had all hoped for so much.

---

## OBVIOUS CONCLUSIONS

---

Those two San Francisco events revealed far more than is visible to the naked eye.

Taking first the hearing on the revision of the U. N. Charter, the array of speakers in favor of the U. N. revealed that the "United Nations" is the great hope of the subversives, Internationalists and outright traitors in our land. That establishes beyond any doubt that the "United Nations" is a diabolically conceived instrument for the destruction of the United States as a sovereign nation. That hearing also revealed that the "United Nations" is, to use a political expression, running scared. There is evidence that at long last the American people are coming awake. I spent most of the month of March in Indiana, Michigan and Illinois. I spoke before many and varied groups of people, including gatherings of high school students. I found a growing awareness of the menace of the U. N. I toured the same areas in 1951 and 1952. At that time the One-Worlders were riding a very high horse. They jeered at patriotism, scoffed at the Constitution, sneered at the Stars and Stripes—they idolized Milton Mayer for his public demand to "*haul down the American flag and stamp and spit on it.*" And the true Americans were bewildered—timid, and almost fearful to express their loyalty.

It was different this year. The One-Worlders were by no means as vociferant. Now they whine about the need of the U. N. to preserve PEACE . . . they don't jeer, or sneer, or scoff . . . they don't quote Milton Mayer. And today there are many true Americans who are no longer bewildered, no longer deluded, no longer timid—they now speak with courage and assurance.

But the best evidence that the One-Worlders are "running scared" is found in a statement issued by Eleanor Roosevelt: "*Now is not the time*", mournfully cautioned the high priestess of the U. N. "*to talk of revising the Charter . . .*" That was a warning in so many



words that attempts to transform the U. N. into a One-World government at this time might well boomerang and completely torpedo that monstrosity.

However, let there be no delusion—the One-Worlders are not surrendering. They are merely changing their strategy—the U. N. is to lie doggo and let the termites “carry on” . . . the termites being the “Atlantic Union”, piloted by Dulles, Milton Eisenhower, John Marshall Harlan, etc; the “United World Federalists”, piloted by Stassen, Justice Douglass, Earl Warren, etc.; the “Anti-Defamation League” piloted by Lehman, Frankfurter, Morgenthau and DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER—plus other such organizations. They will “carry on” the job of spreading FEAR and urging the surrender of national sovereignty to insure PEACE. And they will have the controlled press, radio, TV, stage and screen—and unlimited funds from the Ford, Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations and our own tax money provided by our elected traitors in Washington.

Nevertheless, despite that array of power to destroy America, what I have seen in my travels and in San Francisco, makes me more hopeful—in fact, sanguine—than I have ever been. The one thing that the “United Nations” and the One-Worlders and the betrayers in Washington are deathly afraid of is an awakened and aroused American people . . . and the American people ARE awakening!

The paramount job now is to keep awakening them more and more—in every nook and cranny in our land . . . and to keep them from being lulled back into slumber by phony assurances and promises. The surest way to do that is by completely unmasking—with DOCUMENTARY evidence—the “United Nations,” Eisenhower, the Internationalists and the betrayers we have elected into office. We can not rely on our press to do it—or any other of our means of public communications—we must do it by going direct to the people with ACTION that will perform the expositions.

In the days when we had an honest, ethical and self-respecting judiciary, a lawsuit was the surest means of getting justice. In those days a man could look to the courts for protection against libel, against “smear”, against persecution—it was the surest way to safeguard your standing and reputation in your community . . . because in those days we had a free press that reported the facts and the findings of a court without fear or favor, without distortion. In those days we who love our country did not have to search for ways and means to safeguard our American way of life and our Freedoms—we had a United States Supreme Court that stood like



a Rock of Gibraltar between our Constitution and those who would destroy it—we had Presidents who loved the AMERICAN flag, not International One-World rags. But it is different today. Today we have no free press . . . we have no loyal judiciary . . . we have no White House integrity. Today we have only the Voice and the Will of the American people with which to save America—if *we can reach them*.

Several months ago, realizing that time is running out, with Charter revisions planned to transform the U. N. into a One-World Government, we (CEG) decided on a drastic step which we believed would alert all of the American people—we decided to start a lawsuit to require the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to rescind the original ratification of the Charter, on grounds that the U. N. had employed fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, misinformation and concealment of secret agreements to delude the Committee into ratifying the Charter. We commissioned five lawyers, in different parts of the country, to make the necessary researches and prepare the documentations to back up our lawsuit. Very quickly it became apparent that we had grounds for *several* lawsuits.

---

●

## — GROUND S FOR LAWSUITS —

---

●

Suit No. 1: ) The matter of the territory in New York now occupied by the United Nations and proclaimed to be "foreign territory".

Under our Constitution only the buildings and grounds of an Embassy of a Sovereign Nation can be set aside as "foreign territory". The United Nations is not a sovereign nation— it is merely an association of agents of various nations. Nevertheless, a large plot of ground in the heart of New York has been ceded to the United Nations and declared to be "foreign territory" outside of United States jurisdiction. That enabled the U. N. to make those premises a sacrosanct sanctuary for spies and traitors, where they have been plotting and scheming to destroy the sovereignty of the United States. A lawsuit, tried before an unbiased AMERICAN Judge, should speedily establish that the relinquishment of *national jurisdiction* over that territory is illegal and invalid.

Suit No. 2: ) That in 1945 the "United Nations" premeditatedly employed fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, misinformation and the



concealment of secret agreements, *not written into the Charter*, to deceive and delude the Senate Foreign Relations Committee into ratifying the Charter, thereby gravely endangering the sovereignty of the United States and the Freedoms of the American people as guaranteed by our Constitution and Bill of Rights. Tried before a loyal American Judge, this suit, backed by the DOCUMENTED evidence we will submit, should automatically bring about the rescission and revocation of the ratification of the U. N. Charter.

There are additional grounds for legal action, such as the *illegal* secret grants of tax-payers' money to various agencies of the U. N., such as Unesco, the Economic and Social Council, etc., etc., but the above two lawsuits are the most vital and important ones.

At a conference of our lawyers it was unanimously agreed that both suits, backed by our overwhelming DOCUMENTED evidence, are sure-fire—if *tried before an impartial and ethical Judge*. I stress that "if" because today most of our Federal and Supreme Court Judges are more political than juridical. We know how a Youngdahl or a Yankwich would view any case that would menace the U. N., or the Reds. And even after we finally get a favorable verdict, there will be appeals and long delays. We have a concrete example of that in the suit brought by "Defenders of the American Constitution", headed by General Del Valle, to rescind and revoke the "Task Forces Treaties" under which all American boys *drafted* to serve in foreign countries are deprived of their Constitutional rights and protection.

So we must not place *all* of our reliance on the lawsuits. Because while we would be waiting the enemy would be working—and time might run out for us. There is one course of action that can solve this matter of time, and which is beyond interference by the U. N., by the courts—and even by the man in the White House. This course is a People's Petition. The right of Petition is still untouched and untouchable. A Petition to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, embodying all charges and DOCUMENTED evidence, might well be even more effective than the suits—even if signed by only two million loyal Americans. *And we hope to get TEN MILLION signatures!* It surely will cut through all the Red tape and "legal" oppositions that will be mobilized to stymie the suits.

We concluded our conference with a decision to go ahead with the suits, but to concentrate on the Petition for direct and immediate results.



## THE PETITION

---

"PETITION—by the American People

"To the U. S. Senate Foreign Relations Committee:—*To get the U. S. out of the U. N. and the U. N. out of the U. S.*

"Attention of Senators George, Holland, Sparkman, Mansfield, Wiley, Smith (N.J.) and Knowland:

"We, the undersigned, citizens of the United States and registered voters in our respective states, respectfully submit that in the year 1945 the United Nations premeditatedly employed deceit, fraud and misrepresentation to delude and seduce the Senate Foreign Relations Committee into ratifying the U. N. Charter, and thereby gravely endangering the sovereignty of the United States and the Freedoms of the American people as guaranteed by our Constitution and Bill of Rights, to-wit:

"The United Nations Charter, Section 7 of Article 2, says :

"*'Nothing contained in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state.'*

"Had it not been for this provision the Charter would not have been ratified by the Senate in 1945.

"But immediately after the ratification the U. N. did wilfully and deliberately proceed to plot to transform itself into a super-One World Government that would absorb the United States, destroy our sovereignty and void and nullify our Constitution. To achieve those objectives the U. N. set up special Agencies, such as ILO, the Economic and Social Council, UNESCO, etc., etc., through which they nullified various of our State and Federal laws. They further wilfully employed fraud and deceit in an endeavor to delude the Senate Foreign Relations Committee into ratifying additional "Treaties" such as the "Genocide Pact", the "Covenant of Human Rights" and TWO HUNDRED similar "treaties", any one of which would have forever destroyed the freedoms of the American people. They further wilfully and deliberately concealed the existence of secret agreements. NOT WRITTEN INTO THE CHARTER, one of which provided that the military head of the U. N. is always to be a MOSCOW RED—and we provide DOCUMENTARY evidence that 143,000 of our boys who fought in Korea



were ambushed and trapped, murdered and maimed, as a result of the Red Chinese receiving advance information through that U. N. military head.

(NOTE: *Ten pages of documented evidence will be attached to the Petition when it is submitted to the Committee.*)

"Had the Senate foreseen these developments the Charter would have been overwhelmingly rejectd in 1945.

"Therefore we do earnestly and prayerfully beseech and implore the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to weigh and appraise the documented and prima facie evidences submitted with this PETITION and to move to rescind and revoke the original ratification on the ground that it was obtained by fraud, deceit and misrepresentation, with intent aforethought to destroy the sovereignty of the United States and the freedoms of the American people as guaranteed to us by our Constitution and Bill of Rights."

---

## THE DOCUMENTATIONS:

---

In connection with the PETITION to the U. S. Senate Foreign Relations Committee—

Attention of Senators George, Holland, Sparkman, Mansfield, Wiley, Smith (N.J.) and Knowland:

We, the undersigned, citizens of the United States and registered voters in our respective states, respectfully submit that in the year 1945 the United Nations did premeditatedly employ deceit, fraud and misrepresentation to delude and seduce the Senate Foreign Relations Committee into ratifying the U. N. Charter, thereby gravely endangering the sovereignty of the United States and the Freedoms of the American people as guaranteed by our Constitution and Bill of Rights, to-wit:

The United Nations Charter, Section 7 of Article 2 says:

**"Nothing contained in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state . . . ."**

Had it not been for that provision the Charter would not have been ratified by the Senate in 1945. We base this statement on public utterances by the late Senator Pat McCarran and other members of the Senate. Furthermore, even as and when the U. N. Charter was being debated in the U. S.



Senate, Senator Bushfield of South Dakota said that the document contained at least six serious flaws:

**"It delegates power which we have no authority to delegate to the Security Council to declare war—which directly conflicts with our Constitution; 2) It places our sovereignty in the hands of foreigners—because we have only one vote out of a necessary total of seven; 3) It seeks by implication to delegate power to the American Member of the Security Council to vote us into war without reference to Congress; 4) It grants to the Security Council power to regulate the size and kind of our armaments for national defense. No power on earth should dictate our defense, except the Congress; 5) It DESTROYS THE MONROE DOCTRINE, which is the only fixed foreign policy America has ever had and leaves that foreign policy to a council of foreign nations; 6) It scuttles the Pan American union which we have spent fifty years in building among our American neighbors and makes it subject to the approval of European and Asiatic nations."**

To all of which the proponents of the U. N. replied by pointing to the above quoted Section 7 of Article 2 in the Charter which explicitly states that the U. N. would not and could not in any manner whatsoever interfere with our domestic laws, or encroach on our national sovereignty. By stressing that written pledge they succeeded in getting the Charter ratified.

But immediately after the ratification the U. N. did wilfully and deliberately proceed to scheme and plot to transform itself into a super-One World Government that would gradually absorb the United States and transform it into an impotent unit of that One World Government. The key to their plot lay in our own Constitution which provides that a Treaty becomes the supreme law of our land. Thus the plot was—**and still is**—to gradually void and nullify all of our domestic and Federal laws by reason of their being in conflict with the provisions of the Charter and our treaty with the United Nations, until all of our laws would be entirely superseded by the laws of the U. N., and our Constitution and Bill of Rights would be entirely superseded by the U. N. Charter.

To achieve that objective the U. N. set up special agencies, such as ILO; the Economic and Social Council; the Human Rights Commission; UNESCO, etc., etc., through which they have already "outlawed" various of our State and Federal laws and superseded them with their "laws". So now we have the astonishing procedure in our American courts where the U. N. Charter is cited as domestic law . . . We herewith cite two historic cases from the State of California:



1) In the case of Perez et al vs Lippold, a white woman wanted to marry a negro and a mandamus action was filed to require the County Clerk of Los Angeles to issue a marriage license, even though California had a statute forbidding the intermarriage of these two races. The Supreme Court of California held that the California statute was unconstitutional, no longer valid by reason of our Treaty with the United Nations—and went on to cite the Charter, which, among other things provides—“. . . to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom; and for these ends to practice tolerance in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion . . . .”

In other words, the courts of California assumed that the Charter superseded our domestic law and cited the provisions of the U. N. Charter to support the right of the white woman to marry a negro in that state. This case is on file in the Los Angeles Hall of Records.

2) The case of Sei Fujii vs the State of California: Sei Fujii, a Japanese alien purchased property, but found that under the California Alien Land Law he could not hold title to the land occupied by that property. After the U. N. Charter was ratified Sei Fujii's lawyer seized upon a provision within the Charter to enter a suit against the State of California to establish that the Alien Land Law is in conflict with the Charter and therefore no longer valid. Thus that suit became a test case for the United Nations—and the California court held that by virtue of the Charter's Article 17 of the "Declaration of Human Rights," which proclaims the right of everyone to own property, this alien had title to his property. The court went on to say, **"Clearly such a discrimination against a people of one race is contrary both to the letter and to the spirit of the Charter which, as a treaty, is paramount to every law of every state in conflict with it. The alien land law must therefore yield to the treaty as the superior authority."**

The State of California appealed the case, fought it bitterly, and finally succeeded in getting the decision reversed. But the Sei Fujii attorney, supported by the United Nations, is planning to take the issue to the United States Supreme Court. This entire case is on file in the San Francisco Hall of Records.

There are many more equally brazen violations of that Charter stipulation of no interference with our domestic laws; but the most positive evidence that the U. N. was deliberately set-up and intended to destroy the sovereignty of the United States was made obvious when Truman attempted to seize the Steel Industry. Three U. S. Supreme Court Justices ruled that the U. N. Charter, by virtue of that "treaty", supersedes the Constitution. Had five Justices ruled that way that would have been the end of American sovereign-



ty—WITHOUT RECOURSE TO, OR CONSENT OF, THE PEOPLE. Can we be sure that we do not have FIVE such Justices today?—with John Marshall Harlan, official of “Atlantic Union” and other One-World organizations, and Earl Warren, an equally notorious One-World Internationalist, now on that Bench?

Another less publicized case is even more significant—wherein our Constitution was saved by a tie vote. The point at issue in this case was whether our membership in the U. N. had subordinated our Constitution to the United Nations Charter. In the interpretation of what we had done when our Senate ratified the U. N. Charter, four Supreme Court Justices ruled that we had subordinated the Constitution to the Charter—four ruled that we had not. The Constitution was saved by a tie vote! That’s getting pretty close to the end for our Constitution.

That case came before the Supreme Court on a request for a ruling as to whether a contract made under the authorization of a State law, legal under our Constitution, was valid if it violated a provision of the U. N. Charter. There was no claim at all that the law violated the Constitution of the United States. So it was a clean cut case: our Constitution vs the U. N. Charter, and our Constitution won out only because the vote was a tie—**which still leaves the matter definitely undecided!**

And there was an opportunity for a tie only because the death of Justice Jackson left but eight Justices on the Court.

It is important, at this point, to stress that the Supreme Court does not pass on the merits of a law that is before it for consideration. It decides only if the law is Constitutional. And in this case half of the Supreme Court ruled that when we ratified the Charter of the United Nations and thereby became a member of that organization WE AUTOMATICALLY MADE THE U. N. CHARTER SUPERIOR TO OUR CONSTITUTION!

That’s how close we came to losing our Constitution. With JOHN MARSHALL HARLAN and EARL WARREN now on the Supreme Court we may not be so lucky next time. It behooves the U. S. Senate to make sure that there won’t be a NEXT TIME!

---

## THE GENOCIDE TREATY

---

The U. N. further did wilfully and deliberately employ fraud, deceit and misrepresentation in an endeavor to seduce the U. S. Senate Foreign Relations Committee into ratifying additional “Treaties”, any one of which would



have forever destroyed the freedoms of the American people. We cite the "GENOCIDE" treaty to emphasize that charge:

On December 11, 1946, the U. N. passed a Resolution (No. 96) calling for a "Genocide Convention". The full title is "**Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide,**" and we quote:

**"The Contracting Parties** (the nations that ratify) **having considered the declaration made by the General Assembly of the United Nations in its Resolution 96 (1) dated December 11, 1946, that genocide is a crime under international law, contrary to the spirit and aims of the United Nations and condemned by the civilized world; recognizing that at all periods of history genocide has inflicted great losses on humanity; and,**

**"Being convinced that, in order to liberate mankind from such an odious scourge, international co-operation is required, hereby agree as hereinafter provided:**

**"Any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such; A) Killing members of the group; B) Causing serious bodily OR MENTAL HARM to members of the group; C) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; D) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; E) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group."**

Article III of the same Resolution lists the following among other acts which are punishable: 1) Direct and public incitement to commit Genocide; 2) Attempt to commit Genocide; 3) Complicity in Genocide.

Article IV states: **"Persons charged with Genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in Article III (including MENTAL HARM) shall be tried by a competent tribunal of the State in the territory in which the act was committed, or (at the discretion of the U. N.) BY SUCH INTERNATIONAL PENAL TRIBUNAL AS MAY HAVE JURISDICTION WITH RESPECT TO THOSE CONTRACTING PARTIES (meaning nations) WHICH SHALL HAVE ACCEPTED ITS JURISDICTION."**

It is obvious that the intent of the United Nations was to secure international jurisdiction in every signatory country over taking the life of, or causing MENTAL HARM to, even a single member of any particular group. For a member of any such group in the United States could allege that John Doe, by his conversation, or his communications to the newspapers, or by his public speeches, was causing him serious "MENTAL HARM" and ask that a world body, whether the U. N., or the International Court of Justice, or some tribunal especially set up by the U. N. for the purpose, bring the accused person to trial.



If the United States should ever ratify this "Convention" as a treaty, an American Citizen could be forcibly transported beyond seas to whatever place the U. N. would designate, and there be tried. And from that decision so to transport and try him, and from any conviction that might result there would be no appeal--at least to an American Court. The jurisdiction of his local, his state and his federal government would be supplanted. He would lose all protection of his American citizenship and of the American Bill of Rights.

At this point we wish to stress that a derogatory remark, **even if made in jest**, about a minority group individual's race, color, or creed could be considered great MENTAL HARM.

As proof of the deliberate fraud and deception employed by the U. N. to induce the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to ratify the Genocide treaty we submit the following:

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee, alerted by an aroused citizenry, showed hesitation in their deliberations in connection with the "treaty". Thereupon, in order to delude the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and the American people, the U. N. decided that if Russia would sign the "Pact" it would have a great moral effect on the "humanitarianism" of the American people--and "shame" us into doing no less. But the men in the Kremlin are no "suckers"--no rigid "Genocide Law" for them--**unless it had no "teeth" as far as THEY were concerned**. So the U. N. made a deal with Moscow, and on May 21, 1951, the International Court of Justice at the Hague SECRETLY ruled that Moscow and her seven Satellites could "SIGN THE GENOCIDE PACT WITH RESERVATIONS". Those "reservations" included the right of REFUSAL ON THE PART OF ALL THE IRON CURTAIN COUNTRIES TO ACCEPT THE AUTOMATIC JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT ON GENOCIDE DISPUTES.

Thus the U. N. tried by stealth and deceit to induce our Senate Foreign Relations Committee to ratify a "treaty" whereby any American who would be charged--**merely charged, if you please**--with "Genocide" could be seized by the U. N., transported to any part of the World they would designate, and placed on trial before a U. N. tribunal without protection of American laws, or defense by an American lawyer of his choice . . . whereas a National of Russia and her Satellites would be immune.

Had our Senate ratified that vicious "treaty" it automatically would have deprived every American citizen of the freedom of speech as guaranteed by our Bill of Rights--and it would have rendered our Constitution null and void.

The fact that our Senate Foreign Relations Committee was made aware--**just in the nick of time**--of the vile treachery embodied in that "treaty", and



rejected it, does not minimize the deliberate intent of the U. N. to destroy the sovereignty of the United States and of the American people.

To further emphasize that such is the witting and deliberate intent of the U. N., it is a matter of record that that organization has ready and on hand TWO HUNDRED similar "Treaties", each one containing provisions to destroy our domestic laws and our freedoms and to enslave the American people. All they are waiting for is an unguarded moment in which to slip those "treaties" over on us, which means that as long as the United States continues membership in the U. N. we will have to be on constant guard—**and there will always be the possibility of just one such "treaty" escaping scrutiny.**

Still another phase of the deliberate United Nations plot to destroy the sovereignty of the United States is embodied in their "UNESCO" Agency. There are a number of devious schemes being designed by "UNESCO", but in this document we will deal only with their invasion of our schools with a craftily developed plan to brain-wash our children into hatred of Americanism and love of One-Worldism. We will establish it by quoting from their own books, in one of which they stated:

**"Frequently, the family (parents) infects the child with nationalism . . . As long as the child breathes the poisoned air of nationalism, education for world mindedness can produce only precarious results . . . Kindergarten can correct the errors of home training, and can also prepare the child for membership, at about seven, in a group, the first on his way to membership in the world society . . . History should be taught as a universal history . . . the study of it had better be postponed until the pupil is freed from the nationalist prejudices which now surround the teaching of history."**

As we know, Lenin's chief formula for Communist conquest of the world is to capture the mind of youth. The school, beginning with kindergarten, has always been Communism's prime target. Just so, and employing the very same techniques, has "UNESCO" been driving to control the teaching in our schools—and to destroy all parental influence over our American youth. One of their books, known as the "E in Unesco", is replete with directions how to achieve that objective. From all indications, the operations of "UNESCO", although a U. N. agency, are directed from Moscow.

We now submit further evidence that in its very inception the U. N. was an International Communist plot for the destruction of the United States as a free nation—that it was planted within our borders as a Trojan Horse to serve as a listening post for the plotters and as a sanctuary for American traitors and Red spies whose movements are shielded by diplomatic immunity and protection—and which enables them to smuggle in and smuggle out whatever they wish in their diplomatically sacrosanct baggage.



For confirmation of this charge we urge you to study the report of the McCarran Senate Committee issued in 1952, which publicly charged the U. N. with harboring and shielding Red Spies and American traitors.

There is still another phase of the deceit and fraud that was practiced by the U. N. to delude and deceive the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 1945—and that is the concealment of secret agreements.

Omission from the Charter of secret agreements affecting and menacing the freedoms and the lives of the American people is even more reprehensible than misrepresentation. We submit that such omissions further induced the ratification of the U. N. Charter by a deluded Senate. The recently published Yalta papers revealed several secret agreements which, had they been included in the written Charter, would have prevented ratification. However, in this paper we will deal with only one secret agreement which was deleted from the published Yalta papers, or, perhaps never put in writing. That is the secret agreement made between Alger Hiss and Molotov—and approved by Roosevelt, Harry Hopkins and Stalin—whereby the U. N. secretariat in charge of all military activities was to be headed permanently by a Moscow Communist. The name of the first such head was Arkady A. Sobelov, now Moscow's delegate to the U. N. When he was exposed he was succeeded by Konstantin Zynchenko, World War II Censor of the Red Army. When that Red was exposed by the F.B.I. he was succeeded by Ilya Chernyshev, another Moscow Red.

As a result of that secret agreement, it is now a matter of public record, publicly confirmed, directly and indirectly, by Generals MacArthur, Van Fleet, Ridgway, Mark Clark and other field commanders in Korea, that Sobelov and Zynchenko had revealed in advance to Moscow and Peiping every movement of our arms, munitions and men—thus enabling the Chinese Reds and North Koreans to ambush and slaughter and maim 143,000 of our boys.

We further submit the following to establish that the "United Nations" is a mortal enemy of the United States and that all of its functions are fashioned, devised and directed by Internationalist and Communist plotters, and that it would be disastrous for us in any war between the United States and the Communists, whether it be Russia or Red China, if it be conducted under the authority of the "United Nations". For the U. N. Charter reads (Article 47, paragraph 1): **"There shall be established a Military Staff Committee to advise and assist the Security Council on all such questions relating to the Security Council's military requirements for the maintenance of international peace and security, the employment and command of forces placed at its disposal, the regulation of armaments and possible disarmament."**



The next paragraph reads: **"The Military Staff Committee shall be responsible for the strategic directions of any armed forces placed at the disposal of the Security Council . . ."** and the third paragraph stipulates: **"The Military Staff Committee shall consist of the Chiefs of Staff of the permanent members of the Security Council or their representatives."**

RUSSIA IS A PERMANENT MEMBER OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL, REPRESENTED (according to the U. N. Year Book) BY MAJOR GENERAL IVAN A. SKILAROV, FOR THE RED ARMY, AND LIEUTENANT GENERAL A. R. SHARAPOV, FOR THE RED AIR FORCE.

And that is in addition to the head of the Secretariat in control of War, Communications and Information of the "United Nations".

We shall now establish that this plot has always been known by various Americans to whom we have entrusted the security of our nation—individuals who for years have kept their knowledge secret from the American people and thus actually committed acts of treason.

In response to direct questions, Henry Cabot Lodge, Jr., Eleanor Roosevelt and high officials in the State Department and the Pentagon have vigorously denied that the United Nations has a War or Military department. They have also denied that any information regarding the prosecution of the war in Korea was at any time released to any such U. N. military or war department. The following verbatim quotations from United Nations and United States official records will establish the falsity of their denials and statements—and prove the grave danger in which they have placed the United States and the American people.

The first is taken from a U. S. State Department Bulletin, Number 422A of August 3, 1947, and it is called **"Arming the United Nations"**; it names the Soviet General, Vasiliev, as the Chairman of the U. N. Military Staff Committee who made the rules GOVERNING the arming of the United Nations. This is the same Soviet General Vasiliev who was named in another official document, issued several years later, as the Russian General who launched the Korean war over the 38th parallel. Now we **quote** the official documents:

**"Special agreements under Article 43 of the United Nations Charter."**

**"On April 30, 1947, Lieutenant General A. Ph. Vasiliev, of the Red Army, Chairman of the Military Staff Committee of the United Nations, forwarded to Trygvie Lie, Secretary General, for transmission to the Security Council, a report of the Military Staff Committee, containing recommendations of the general principles governing the organization of the armed forces made available to the Security Council by Member Nations of the United Nations."**



These general principles governing the organization of the ARMED FORCES made available to the Security Council by Member Nations of the U. N. are outlined complete in Department of State Bulletin Supplement, Volume XVII, No. 422 A, August 3, 1947; and Department of State Publication No. 2892, United States—United Nations Information Series No. 23. The above quotation is from that Bulletin. (This Bulletin, as in the case of secret agreements in the Yalta papers, is now TOP SECRET, but it should be found in any library where the regular subscription, Department of State Bulletins, is on file.)

Thus, the military organization (such as controlled the Korean "police" action and would control all future military "police" forces) of the United Nations was organized and controlled by the Soviet Union **within** the United Nations. These forces are composed, as here quoted from the same State Department Bulletin No. 422 A, Article 4: **"These Armed Forces shall be made available to the Security Council from the best trained and equipped units of Member Nations of the United Nations."**

It is hardly necessary to stress that once MOSCOW gets control they NEVER RELINQUISH that control. FOUR YEARS LATER OUR DEFENSE DEPARTMENT CHARGED RUSSIA WITH LAUNCHING THE KOREAN WAR!

Now we quote from the United States official document, one issued by the United States Defense Department (a "White Paper"), which made the charge, and named the **Soviet Lieutenant General Vasiliev**, as the Soviet General who launched the Korean war over the 38th parallel. We quote as follows:

**"Department of Defense, Office of Public Information, Washington 25, D. C., press release May 15, 1954."**

This press release is captioned: **"The Truth About Soviet Involvement in the Korean War"**.

The quotation: **"In the interest of throwing further light on the facts of Soviet participation in the Korean war, and on the Chinese record in Korea, the Department of Defense has released two special studies on these subjects. These documents represent conclusions which are based on Intelligence Research and examination of many sources of information over a considerable period of time. The documents establish beyond any reasonable doubt the true nature of Communist aggression against the Republic of Korea, the Soviet and Chinese Communist support of, and participation in that aggression, and the blunt truth about the internal and external manifestations of Communist control in North Korea . . . Many Russian 'advisors' were attached to the North Korean Army advance headquarters established in June, 1950. They wore civilian clothing, and it was forbidden to address them by rank.**



**They were introduced as 'newspaper reporters', but they had supreme authority. They took the lead in commanding and manipulating troops. They treated the North Korean officers, who were nominally their chiefs like their servants, or children. A North Korean Major identified two of these Russian 'advisors' as LIEUTENANT GENERAL VASILIEV and Colonel Dolgin. Vasiliev, he said, was in charge of all movements across the 38th parallel."**

Thus, it is obvious from our own Defense Department's official records that this Red General Vasiliev first outlined how the U. N. was to fight the Korean War—and similar such "wars" . . . then promptly went over to Korea to direct the Reds how to fight the U. N. forces. **HE WAS DIRECTING BOTH SIDES!** Can there now be any doubt as to why Generals MacArthur and Van Fleet were not permitted to win that war?—and who betrayed the U. N. forces into traps and ambushments wherein 143,000 of our AMERICAN boys were maimed and murdered?

Therein we have the official and DOCUMENTARY evidence that the U. N. is a **monstrous** hoax intended to absorb the United States and enslave the American people, as well as the people of all the world. Korea was a gigantic murder plot, the first of many such traps, planned to conquer the United States by gradually destroying our manpower and our economic structure.

Do we dare ever again to risk our men in battle while enemy officers—and a fictitious PEACE organization—direct our war strategy?

In view of all that, we charge that the U. N. is an agency tailored and designed to permit and even to create **here** what has occurred in China, Korea, Indo-China and elsewhere. It provided an alibi for a delinquent Chief Executive and National administration. It serves as a shield for traitors. It effectively paralyzes the capacity of any member nation either to resist aggression or wage war in its own defense. It constitutes a beachhead not only for our Communist enemies but for any other enemy or potential enemy among the nationals of the nations constituting its membership. No better illustration could be cited than the most recent episode where American soldiers drafted for war are captured and condemned as spies by enemies who are not even members of the "United Nations". The Chief Executive whose obvious obligation is to provide for the common defense, and for the protection of our soldiers' rights, **because of the Charter** washes his hands of the whole matter, promising only measures "short of war"—which phrase must be interpreted to be no measures at all and simply amounts to a fatuous protest while simply "passing the buck" to the "United Nations". And the U. N., under whose so-called flag these boys were forced to fight, **because of that Charter**, will not, or cannot, do anything to secure their release.



Had the Senate foreseen these developments the Charter would have been overwhelmingly rejected in 1945.

In conclusion, it should be remembered that this U. N. Charter was concocted and prepared and written by ALGER HISS—in collaboration with Moscow's Molotov. It is safe to assume that every word in it was carefully weighed and weighted with seeds of destruction for the United States.

Therefore, we do earnestly and prayerfully beseech and implore the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to weigh and appraise the documented and prima facie evidence submitted with the PETITION and to move to rescind and revoke the original ratification on the ground that it was obtained by fraud, deceit and misrepresentation, with intent aforethought to destroy the sovereignty of the United States and the freedoms of the American people as guaranteed to us by our Constitution and Bill of Rights.

---

## FOR ADDITIONAL "DOCUMENTATIONS"

---

These "DOCUMENTATIONS" will be further fortified with direct citations from Court records so as to more readily identify the cases mentioned therein. Also, inasmuch as the "DOCUMENTATIONS" are not to be submitted until our Committee will appear before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, it remains open to lawyers and other individuals in the various states who may be able to provide proof of other domestic laws that have been "outlawed" by the U. N. Charter in their states. We urge all such individuals to promptly forward all such proofs to us.

---

## SIGNIFICANT ITEMS

---

South Africa is giving UNESCO the "bum's rush." Not only is UNESCO of no value, stated Prime Minister Strydom, but it is actually dangerous to South Africa. Nor is South Africa the only member country fed up with UNESCO. At UNESCO's Montevideo Conference, Mr. Strydom stated, a large number of important countries expressed dissatisfaction with that U. N. agency—indeed,



they were so critical that UNESCO's Director General resigned his post.

The "United Nations" VOTED that the taxpayers of the United States should pay 35.12 per cent of the costs of operating that monstrosity. And we are doing it! Why? There is not one word in our constitution that authorizes Congress to *delegate* to any foreign body the right to tax American citizens. The right to tax includes the right to confiscate . . . TELL THAT TO THE REPRESENTATIVE YOU ELECTED TO REPRESENT YOU.

At a recent gathering of GOP women political leaders in the White House, one of the ladies asked Ike: "Why don't you make public your candidacy for re-election now, Mr. President, and that way make certain victory in 1956?" Ike, with a deprecating gesture, asked: "Why don't you ladies talk about a younger man for 1956—say Bob Anderson of Texas?" Anderson (now Assistant to Secretary of Defense Wilson) is a Democrat. One of the ladies paraphrased Shakespeare and exclaimed: "What fools we Republicans be!"

Major General Lyman L. Lemnitzer was in charge of the Office of Foreign Military Assistance under Truman and Acheson. In July 1949 Congress appropriated \$10,230,000 for military equipment for the South Koreans. Three weeks after the Korean war had started, General Lemnitzer admitted at a Senate inquiry that \$200 worth of signal equipment was all that had been delivered to the South Koreans. Although they had pleaded for planes time after time, and their requests had been backed up by our own military, Lemnitzer refused to send them even one plane . . . This same *General Lemnitzer was recently appointed, BY IKE, to command all United States forces in the Far East, including the Eighth Army!*

With the Red Chinese building up their forces in the Far East, the natural question is—*why Lemnitzer?*



**CPA BOOK PUBLISHER**

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

Fax: 503 668-8614

*Email: [cpabooks@hotmail.com](mailto:cpabooks@hotmail.com)*

*Send for free Catalog*